

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/678,249	10/03/2003	Gary William Yeager 133816-1 EXAMINER TRUONG, DUC ART UNIT PAR	133816-1	2016
	23413 7.	590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER	
		OLBURN, LLP		G, DUC	
	55 GRIFFIN R BLOOMFIELI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,		1711	
				DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/678,249	YEAGER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Duc Truong	1711	

4

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amer places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	ndment, affidavit, or other evidence, which eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the dance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	n the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspond under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee or reply originally set in the final Office action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period seamenDMENTS	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of file (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mappeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number o NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a 	separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, o how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended	r b) will be entered and an explanation of d.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10,11,13-26 and 29-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27,28 and 33-36</u> .	·
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but pentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections unshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pre	nder appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the clai REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the ap for the following reasons:	
The rejection made over claims 1,13 and related claims, under 35 U.S.C112, first parage arguments Since Applicants filed on 4/26/05 Amendment in that "ethylene-ethyl a Markush group, then the 102/103 rejection is no longer applicable and has been below:	acrylate copolymer" was deleted from the
Claims 1-8, 10-11, 13-26 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpater	ntable over Merfeld et al.
The reference discloses a curable composition comprising a functionalized poly(arylene the structure [0015 et seq.], maleic anhydride [0031], an olefin alkyl (meth)acryl copolymers [0084], [0081], an alkenyl aromatic monomer (see Abstract, [0047-0	ate copolymer such as ethylene ethyl acrylate
The composition may further comprising an additive selected from flame retardants, lubural ultraviolet stabilizers, pigments, dyes, anti-static agents[0119], suitable to form	ricants, antioxidants, thermal stabilizers,
The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose amended in claim 13 in that ethylene ethyl acrylate copolymers has been deleted	the claimed olefin alkyl (meth)acrylate, as now d.
However, said copolymers (C2) has the same functionality with other ethylene (C1 and composition due to the same mechanism to form the same or similar products. To ordinary skill in the art to select the ethylene ethyl acrylate copolymers from the r	C3-C8) acrylate copolymers in the same herefore, it would have been obvious to one of
copolymer, ethylene methyl methacrylate copolymer and ethylene ethyl methacry since they have been shown to be effective in a similar system and thus would have	late copolymer in the claimed composiiton

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. unexpected results derived from said use applicant's arguments Elera is no showing of considered but they are not persuasive because of a new ground

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 082305

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER